

Artificial Intelligence: Perspectives and Challenges

Michael I. Jordan
University of California, Berkeley

June 21, 2017

Perspectives on AI

- The classical “I, robot” perspective
cf. AI in the movies, interactive home robotics
- The “intelligence augmentation” (IA) perspective
cf. search engines, recommendation systems, natural language translation
- The “intelligent infrastructure” (II) perspective
cf. transportation, intelligent dwellings, urban planning

A Major Disconnect

- Problems studied from the “I, robot” perspective aren’t necessarily the same as those that arise in the IA or II perspectives
- But the “AI solutions” being deployed for the latter are often those developed in service of the former
- Some of the II problems that are far from solved:
 - error control for multiple, correlated decisions at massive scale
 - how to share data in competitive contexts?
 - cloud-edge interactions at massive scale
 - how to achieve fairness, quality and diversity?
 - robustness and security issues
- And there are “I, robot” problems that are far from solved

What Is Possible and Not Yet Possible?

- Computer vision

Possible: labeling of objects in visual scenes

Not Yet Possible: common-sense understanding of visual scenes

- Speech recognition

Possible: speech-to-text and text-to-speech in a wide range of languages

Not Yet Possible: common-sense understanding of auditory scenes

- Natural language processing

Possible: minimally adequate translation and question-answering

Not Yet Possible: semantic understanding, dialog

- Robotics

Possible: industrial programmed robots

Not Yet Possible: robots that interact with humans and can operate autonomously

What Will Be Possible in Ten Years?

- Everything that was listed as “Not Yet Possible” on the previous slide, at least in primitive form
including “robots” such as self-driving cars and self-piloting air taxis
including dialog systems that can stand in for humans in a range of service roles and secretarial roles
- But AI systems will still be very limited intelligences...

What Is Unlikely in our Lifetimes?

- It is unlikely that we will see AI systems that have the intellectual flexibility and creativity of humans

AI systems will have limited semantic understanding, and limited ability to cope with complex language (metaphor, irony, etc)

AI systems will have limited ability to reason abstractly, finding new abstractions on the fly

AI systems will have limited ability to plan in complex environments and adapt their plans on the fly

- They will seem like children who seem to know an amazing number of facts, and can have unusual insights, but nonetheless don't "understand" very much
- Certainly, we will not see "super-human AI" in the sense of AI that is clearly more intelligent than humans
I.e., I don't believe in the "singularity" in our lifetimes...

But Don't Computers Have Far More Processing Power than Humans?

- True, and indeed AI systems will have far more “patience” than humans to trawl through massive amounts of data and massive hypothesis spaces
so they will be able to have come up with unusual insights
but they won't necessarily understand why an insight is an insight, and vet whether an insight is “real” or “useful” they will have limited abilities to form long chains of reasoning
they will have limited ability to explain themselves
- The AI systems that win at chess and Go are limited intelligences
note in particular that chess and Go are “fully observable”;
there's nothing hidden that the computer has to reason about
that's not like real life

What Is Worth Worrying About?

- AI systems that seem smart but actually aren't and make decisions that create massive headaches and disasters
- The loss of jobs and incomes by large numbers of people especially in the service sectors, which have been the main hope for people displaced from classical industrial jobs
- Further disparities in wealth and lifestyle if the knowledge of how to build AI systems is not diffused
- The misuse of AI by people with bad intentions

Near-Term Challenges for ML

- Designing systems that can provide meaningful, calibrated notions of their uncertainty
- Designing systems that can explain their decisions
- Finding causes and performing causal reasoning
- Systems that pursue long-term goals, and actively collect data in service of those goals
- Achieving real-time performance goals
- Robustness in the face of unexpected situations
- Robustness in the face of adversaries
- Sharing data among individuals and organizations
- Protecting privacy and data ownership

Broader Challenges

- **Semantics**
real-world grounding
context
natural language taken seriously
- **Cloud-edge interaction**
cloud can aggregate (if privacy concerns are addressed)
and it can provide near-infinite processing
but, the cloud is further away from the real-world grounding and
context that is precisely what's needed for real intelligence
- **Uncertainty**
representing uncertainty
communicating uncertainty
decision-making and planning under uncertainty
the social side of uncertainty

Conclusions

- ML (AI) has come of age
- But it is far from being a solid engineering discipline that can yield robust, scalable solutions to modern data-analytic problems
- There's no "magic"
- There are many hard problems involving uncertainty, inference, decision-making, robustness and scale that are far from being solved
- Not to mention economic, social and legal issues
- Great care will be needed to develop systems that work well and don't create headaches and disasters